

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/418,902	10/15/1999	WALTER V. KLEMP	P01896US0 9464		
23770	7590 06/13/2005		EXAMINER		
PAULA D. MORRIS THE MORRIS LAW FIRM, P.C.			KIDWELL, MICHELE M		
	THEIMER, SUITE 360		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77042-3110			3761		
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	0
Y	и

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/418,902	KLEMP ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michele Kidwell	3761		

Potoro the Filing of an Annual Priot						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Michele Kidwell	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) Market period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) L. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. Satutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com- of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be the property of th	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS		.f				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		reducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 76-85.		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>59</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18,54-58,60 and 73-75</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		N				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal Will javit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
19. La 90000	of Dehro	Michele Kidwell	iduzell			
Supe	Larry I. Schwartz	Examiner Art Unit: 3761				

Group 3700

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the removal and addition of subject matter in claim 1 along with the addition of new claims in conjunction with the previously claimed limitations will require at least further consideration and possibly further searching.